

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/497,284 02/02/2000		02/02/2000	Philemon L. Bruner	10356.0035.NPUS00	7307	
27194	7590	11/06/2006		EXAMINER		
HOWREY			SHAPIRO, JEFFERY A			
		DEPARTMENT RK DRIVE, SUITE 2	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		A 22042-2924	3653			

DATE MAILED: 11/06/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
N. C. CAL.	09/497,284	BRUNER ET AL.				
Notice of Abandonment	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app		L				
This application is abandoned in view of:						
Applicant's failure to timely file a proper reply to the Office (a) ☐ A reply was received on (with a Certificate of M period for reply (including a total extension of time of)	failing or Transmission dated month(s)) which expired on _	·				
(b) A proposed reply was received on, but it does		•				
(A proper reply under 37 CFR 1.113 to a final rejection application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee);					
(c) A reply was received on but it does not constitute a proper reply, or a bona fide attempt at a proper reply, to the non-final rejection. See 37 CFR 1.85(a) and 1.111. (See explanation in box 7 below).						
(d) ☐ No reply has been received.	·					
2. Applicant's failure to timely pay the required issue fee and from the mailing date of the Notice of Allowance (PTOL-8		the statutory period of three months				
(a) ☐ The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, was), which is after the expiration of the statutory per Allowance (PTOL-85).						
(b) The submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. A balance	e of \$ is due.					
The issue fee required by 37 CFR 1.18 is \$	The publication fee, if required by 37	CFR 1.18(d), is \$				
(c) The issue fee and publication fee, if applicable, has no	ot been received.					
 Applicant's failure to timely file corrected drawings as requ Allowability (PTO-37). 	uired by, and within the three-month p	period set in, the Notice of				
 (a) ☐ Proposed corrected drawings were received on after the expiration of the period for reply. 	_ (with a Certificate of Mailing or Tran	nsmission dated), which is				
(b) ☐ No corrected drawings have been received.						
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by the the applicants. 	e attorney or agent of record, the ass	ignee of the entire interest, or all of				
 The letter of express abandonment which is signed by an 1.34(a)) upon the filing of a continuing application. 	attorney or agent (acting in a repres	sentative capacity under 37 CFR				
 The decision by the Board of Patent Appeals and Interfer of the decision has expired and there are no allowed clair 		se the period for seeking court review				
7. 🛛 The reason(s) below:						
See Continuation Sheet	*					
	SUPERVIS	ATRICK MACKEY BORY PATENT EXAMINER IOLOGY CENTER 3600				

Petitions to revive under 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b), or requests to withdraw the holding of abandonment under 37 CFR 1.181, should be promptly filed to minimize any negative effects on patent term.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office
PTOL-1432 (Rev. 04-01)

Notice of Abandonment

Part of Paper No. 20061102

Item 7 - Other reasons for holding abandonment: Applicant's failure to addequately change the mailing address. On 2/16/06, a notice of non-compliant brief setting a one-month response period was sent and evidently recived by Applicant's representative. In response a revised appeal brief was submitted and received by the office on 3/20/06. This Appeal brief was still found to be defective. As such, a new notice of non-complient brief was sent and returned because of an incorrect address. Because the brief filed on 3/20 was still non-complient, the time continued to run from the 2/16/06 date, and therefore the appeal is dismissed and the case declared abandoned.

	09/497,284 BRUNER ET AL.							
Communication Re: Appeal	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jeffrey A. Shapiro	3653						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address								
1. The Notice of Appeal filed on is not acceptable because:								
(a) it was not timely filed.								
(b) the statutory fee for filing the appeal was not submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1).								
(c) the appeal fee received on was not timely filed.								
(d) the submitted fee of \$ is insufficient. The appeal fee required by 37 CFR 41.20(b)(1) is \$								
(e) the appeal is not in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31(a)(1) in that no claim has been twice rejected.								
(f) a Notice of Allowability, PTO-37, was mailed by the Office on								
2. The appeal brief filed on is NOT acceptable for the reason(s) indicated below:								
(a) the brief and/or brief fee is untimely. See 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has n	(b) the statutory fee for filing the brief has not been submitted. See 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2).							
(c) the submitted brief fee of \$ is insu	ufficient. The brief fee required by	/ 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) is \$						
The appeal in this application will be dismissed unless corrective action is taken to timely submit the brief and requisite fee. See 37 CFR 41.37(a)(1). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). See 37 CFR 41.37(e).								
3. ⊠ The appeal in this application is DISMISSED	because:							
(a) the statutory fee for filing the brief as required under 37 CFR 41.20(b)(2) was not timely submitted and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.								
(b) the brief was not timely filed and the period for obtaining an extension of time to file the brief under 37 CFR 1.136(a) has expired.								
(c) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) under 37 CFR 1.114 was filed on								
(d)								
4. Because of the dismissal of the appeal, this a	application:							
(a) 🛛 is abandoned because there are no allo	(a) 🗵 is abandoned because there are no allowed claims.							
(b) is before the examiner for final disposition on the merits remains CLOSED.	(b) is before the examiner for final disposition because it contains allowed claims. Prosecution on the merits remains CLOSED.							
(c) is before the examiner for consideration	ı.	PY						

Application No.

PATRICK MACKEY SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Applicant(s)

Continuation of 3. (d) Other: Applicant's failure to addequately change the mailing address. On 2/16/06, a notice of non-compliant brief setting a one-month response period was sent and evidently recived by Applicant's representative. In response a revised appeal brief was submitted and received by the office on 3/20/06. This Appeal brief was still found to be defective. As such, a new notice of non-complient brief was sent and returned because of an incorrect address. Because the brief filed on 3/20 was still non-complient, the time continued to run from the 2/16/06 date, and therefore the appeal is dismissed and the case declared abandoned.